

**CANADIAN SEX RESEARCH FORUM
EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR STUDENT PRESENTATION AWARDS**

Evaluator's ID: _____ Speaker's Name _____

Using the following scale, please circle the number that best describes your assessment of the specific dimension being evaluated:

Weak / Poor							Strong / Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

CONTENT

1.	Clear rationale for study and concise statement of study objectives	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2.	Clear review and critique of background/preceding literature	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3.	Methods: Appropriateness for study / Succinct review	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4.	Clear understanding and presentation of findings with appropriate statistical or other analytical methods	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5.	Appropriate conclusions and implications for future work	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

STYLE

6	Composure, clarity and pace (e.g., not reading from script or slides)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7.	Engagement with audience (i.e., engaging talk)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8.	Thoughtful fielding of questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

AUDIOVISUAL*

9.	Visual clarity (e.g., concise; not wordy; free of errors)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
10.	Visual appeal	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

* If used

SCORING (out of 70): **Content:** _____ / 35 + **Style:** _____ / 21 + **AV:** _____ / 14 = **TOTAL** _____ / 70

General Comments: